25X1

11 April 1963

## Answers to "Questions for CIA Arising From Cuba Study"

l. A combination of factors leads to the conclusion that the Soviet decision to send strategic missiles to Cuba was probably taken sometime between late March and mid-May, and most probably during the month of April. Of course, it probably was not a snap decision and most likely had been under consideration for some time. The political climate in Cuba was probably not considered propitious for the missile venture by the USSR until after the resolution in late March of the political conflict within the Cuban leadership. The estimated time to marshal the equipment in the Soviet Union for shipment to Cuba

strongly indicates that the decision was probably taken by mid-May. Also, it was on 14 May that the supplementary protocol to the Cuban-Soviet trade agreement was announced. This agreement substantially increased Soviet economic support for Cuba and may well have been a quid pro quo for Cuban acceptance of the missiles

25X1

25X1

Referral Review by

not expect the sources of these reports to know precisely

25X1

25X1 25X1 what they were describing, the details they gave proved accurate and enabled us to report on SAMs well before they could be confirmed in aerial photography.

25X1

7. (a) Answered elsewhere.

(b) Four missions were authorized
One target was the suspected cruise missile
site at Banes, which was obscured by clouds on
flight, and covered by themis-
sion. The flight, incidentally, covered
Stone and the state of what cubes
Sagua la Grande and found no evidence of what subse-
quently became MRBM sites.
411111111111111111111111111111111111111
The flight approved for
was canceled because of weather. It was
flown but provided no results be-
cause of weather, and had to be rescheduled.

-3-

25X1

25X1 25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

The mission was targeted to look for SAM sites on the Isle of Pines and Bay of Pigs.

25X1 25X1 Since weather had permitted coverage of only two of the four missions assigned for September, these flights were carried over, with a peripheral mission on along the southeast coast, and a peripheral mission on along the northeast coast. (There was a mechanical abort on and weather stood down the planes inclusive.)

25X1

A memorandum of 3 October and a USIB recommendation dated 5 October requested priority coverage of suspected surface-to-surface (MRBM) areas in Cuba. The planes stood down because authorization for October flights had not yet been granted, and because of weather. Transfer of responsibility for the flights precluded a mission on 13 October. The mission which first discovered MRBM's was flown on

- (c) It will take a few more days to obtain authoritative answers to these questions.
- 8. On several occasions pilots returning from low altitude missions reported that they believed they had been fired on by ground batteries, but that the shooting was ineffective. There is no way of determining whether the batteries in these instances were manned by Soviet or Cuban personnel.

25X1

Approved For Release 2004/07/08 : CIA-RDP79T00429A061300030011-1

25X1

10. Both during the removal of the missiles and during the removal of the bombers, Soviet ships were photographed and inspected from alongside as well as from the air. Helicopters apparently were used in some instances. Excellent photography was obtained on many of the ships. In the case of the missiles, the Soviets removed canvas coverings for the inspection.

25X1

25X1